

The Triviality of Kontsevich's Flow on Quasi-Homogeneous Poisson Structures

Julie Alhosh

Supervisor: Prof. Brent Pym

August 2021

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
McGill University

1 Introduction

M. Kontsevich gives a “deformation quantization” formula for Poisson structures in [4]. It is, however, not the unique quantization formula and its non-uniqueness can be addressed using an object called the graph complex \mathbf{GC} that was also introduced by Kontsevich in [3]. The zeroth cohomology of the graph complex, $H^0(\mathbf{GC})$, which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group, \mathbf{grt} [5], acts on Poisson structures. Conjecturally, \mathbf{grt} is freely generated by classes $\sigma_3, \sigma_5, \dots$ associated to wheels with 3, 5, ... spokes, respectively. The quantization of a Poisson structure, π , is independent of choices if and only if $H^0(\mathbf{GC})$ acts trivially on π .

The triviality of the flow can be determined using Poisson cohomology. Let M be a smooth manifold and let $\pi \in \wedge^2 T_M$ be a Poisson structure. Consider the operator δ_π associated with the Lichnerowicz complex of π .

$$\delta_\pi := [\pi, -] : \wedge^k T_M \longrightarrow \wedge^{k+1} T_M \quad (1)$$

where $[-, -]$ is the Schouten bracket. Then $\delta_\pi^2 = 0$ since $[\pi, \pi] = 0$ as π is a Poisson structure. The Poisson cohomology is the cohomology of $H^k(\wedge^k T_M, \delta_\pi)$. The action of the graph complex on π produces a Poisson cocycle. The flow is trivial if and only if the resulting Poisson cocycle is a coboundary of the Lichnerowicz complex of π .

Last summer, I added the functionality to compute the action of the graph cocycles of degree 0, the flow, on Poisson structures. The flow was trivial in all the examples I computed. Currently, it is unknown whether there exists a Poisson structure π such that $H^0(\mathbf{GC})$ acts non-trivially on π . Therefore, it is of interest to know whether the action of $H^0(\mathbf{GC})$ on Poisson structures is trivial.

In this report, we prove that the action of $\sigma_3, \sigma_5, \dots$ is trivial for quasi-homogeneous Poisson structures on \mathbb{C}^2 .

2 Graph Cocycles and Kontsevich Quantization Graphs

Let \mathbf{G} be a graph cocycle as described in [3, 2] and let π be a Poisson structure on \mathbb{C}^n . \mathbf{G} acts on π by:

$$\mathbf{G} \cdot \pi = \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{G}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma: \Gamma \text{ is a Kontsevich} \\ \text{Quantization graph of } \mathcal{G}}} w_\Gamma B_{\Gamma, \pi} \quad (2)$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the coefficient of a graph $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{G}$, Γ is a Kontsevich Quantization graph obtained from \mathcal{G} by adding the external vertices and the sum over Γ takes all possible orientations of such Kontsevich Quantization graphs into consideration, w_Γ is the symmetry factor of the oriented graph Γ , $B_{\Gamma, \pi}$ is the skew-symmetrization of the bidifferential operator introduced in [4].

For simplicity, let $q, r = I(e)$ such that e is the incoming edge to L and R , respectively.

$$B_{\Gamma, \pi}(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{I: E_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\Gamma}, \\ e = (*, k)}} \partial_{I(e)} \right) \pi^{I(e_k^1)I(e_k^2)} \right] \partial_q h_1 \partial_r h_2 \quad (3)$$

Remark 1. *We have that for nonzero terms, $q \neq r$.*

Kontsevich Quantization graphs have the following properties:

1. the vertex set is $[n] \sqcup \{L, R\}$ where $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are the internal vertices and $\{L, R\}$ is the set of external vertices.
2. each internal vertex is at least trivalent with an out-degree of 2.
3. each external vertex has an in-degree of 1 and an out-degree of 0.
4. there are $2n$ edges, and both endpoints of $2(n - 1)$ edges are internal vertices

Lemma 1. *In each Kontsevich Quantization graph Γ involved in the computation in (2), there exists an internal vertex that is trivalent.*

Proof. Assume not, i.e $in - deg(v) \geq 2$ for all $v \in [n]$. Then, the number of edges in between the internal vertices is $\geq 2n$, a contradiction to property 4. On the other hand, for each $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{G}$, v is at least trivalent for $v \in V_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then, the internal vertices of Γ are also at least trivalent. \square

3 Quasi-Homogeneous Case

Let $\pi = f \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$ be a Poisson structure on \mathbb{C}^2 with coordinates (x, y) . Assume that f is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree D with respect to some weight vector $w = (w_1, w_2)$ corresponding to the weights of (x, y) , where $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $Z^k(\pi)$ denote the space of k-cocycles and $B^k(\pi)$ denote the space of k-coboundary of the Lichnerowicz complex of π .

Note that $\mathbf{G} \cdot \pi$ has the form $g \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$, where g is a polynomial. We will show that $[\mathbf{G} \cdot \pi] = 0$.

Lemma 2. *The resulting polynomial g is quasi-homogeneous of degree*

$$deg(g) = nD - (n - 1)(w_1 + w_2) \quad (4)$$

Proof. By (3), the degree of a nonzero term in $B_{\Gamma, \pi}$ is

$$nD - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{e \in E_{\Gamma}, \\ e = (*, k)}} w_{I(e)} \quad (5)$$

The number of terms in the double sum is equal to the number of edges that are in between the internal vertices, i.e. $2(n-1)$. We notice that there are n constraints for a possible labelling which are of the form $I(e_k^1) \neq I(e_k^2)$ for all $k \in [n]$. Otherwise the term with the labelling I such that $I(e_k^1) = I(e_k^2)$ for any $k \in [n]$ would be zero since $\pi^{I(e_k^1)I(e_k^2)} = \pi^{ii} = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

There are two cases regarding the constraints that involve q and r :

1. When $q = I(e_k^i)$ and $r = I(e_k^j)$ for some $k \in [n]$, we have one constraint $q \neq r$.
2. When we have two constraints: $q \neq I(e_k^i)$ and $r \neq I(e_l^j)$ where $k \neq l$. And by remark 1, we then have that $I(e_k^i) \neq I(e_l^j)$.

Now, there are $n-1$ constraints $\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$ that do not involve q and r of the form $I(e_k^i) \neq I(e_l^j)$ which implies that $w_{I(e_k^i)} + w_{I(e_l^j)} = w_1 + w_2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(g) &= nD - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{e \in E_G, \\ e = (*, k)}} w_{I(e)} \\ &= nD - \sum_{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}} w_1 + w_2 \\ &= nD - (n-1)(w_1 + w_2) \quad \square \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let I_f be the ideal generated by $\partial_x f$ and $\partial_y f$.

Remark 2. *Lemma 1 implies that $g \in I_f$.*

Lemma 3. *If f is quasi-homogeneous with degree $D \neq w_1 + w_2$, then $[\mathbf{G} \cdot \pi] = \mathbf{0}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\deg(g) = nD - (n-1)(w_1 + w_2) = 2D - w_1 - w_2$. Then, $D = w_1 + w_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, g does not contain any component of degree $2D - w_1 - w_2$ and by remark 2 and in [1, Lemma 2.5.11.], $\mathbf{G} \cdot \pi = g \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \in B^2(\pi)$. \square

Now, let $D = w_1 + w_2$. We know that

$$f = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^j$$

$D = w_1 + w_2 = iw_1 + jw_2$. Then, $(i-1)w_1 + (j-1)w_2 = 0$. Since $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that either $i = 0$ and $j = 0$, $i = 0$ and $j = \frac{w_1}{w_2} + 1$, or $j = 0$ and $i = \frac{w_2}{w_1} + 1$ and therefore f is of the form $f = axy + bx^{\frac{w_2}{w_1}+1} + cy^{\frac{w_1}{w_2}+1}$. We can notice three cases:

1. $w_1 = w_2$ with $f = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$
2. $w_2 = kw_1$ and $f = axy + bx^{k+1}$.
3. $w_1 = kw_2$ and $f = axy + cy^{k+1}$.

If $a \neq 0$, then cases 2 and 3 are isomorphic to 1 by a change of coordinates. Otherwise, the bidifferential operator would be 0 due to the possible labellings of the graphs and the fact that f is a single variable polynomial. It, therefore, suffices to treat 1.

Remark 3. For $\sigma \in Z^0(\mathbf{GC}_2)$, $A \in GL_n$, and $\pi \in \wedge^2 T_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, we have that:

$$\sigma(A \cdot \pi) = A(\sigma \cdot \pi) \quad (7)$$

Remark 4. Let $\sigma_3, \sigma_5, \dots \in Z^0(\mathbf{GC}_2)$ associated with the wheels with 3, 5, ... spokes, respectively. For $n \in \{3, 5, \dots\}$, σ_n is a linear combination of graphs with an even number of vertices.

Now, let $\pi = xy \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $B = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} A(\sigma \cdot \pi) &\stackrel{\text{Remark 3}}{=} \sigma(A \cdot \pi) \\ &= \sigma(-\pi) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Remark 4}}{=} (-1)^m \sigma(\pi) \quad \text{where } m \text{ is even} \\ &= \sigma(\pi) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By applying (7) to B , we get that

$$B \cdot \sigma(\pi) = \sigma \cdot (B\pi) = \sigma\pi \quad (9)$$

Therefore, $\sigma\pi$ is B -invariant. We know that $\sigma\pi = (ax^2 + bxy + cy^2) \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$ since $w_1 = w_2 = 1$. Then, $B \cdot \sigma(\pi) = (at^2x^2 + bxy + ct^{-2}y^2) \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$. So $\sigma\pi = bxy \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$ since (9) is satisfied if and only if $a = c = 0$. But we know that:

$$A \cdot (bxy \partial_x \wedge \partial_y) = -bxy \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \quad (10)$$

Finally, we have:

$$-\sigma\pi \stackrel{(10)}{=} A\sigma\pi \stackrel{(8)}{=} \sigma\pi \quad (11)$$

Hence $\sigma\pi = 0$.

References

- [1] Jean-Paul Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung. *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, volume 242 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [2] Maxim Kontsevich. Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry. In *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992*, pages 173–187. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [3] Maxim Kontsevich. Formality conjecture. In *Deformation theory and symplectic geometry (Ascona, 1996)*, volume 20 of *Math. Phys. Stud.*, pages 139–156. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
- [4] Maxim Kontsevich. Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 66(3):157–216, 2003.
- [5] Thomas Willwacher. M. Kontsevich’s graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 200(3):671–760, 2015.